

## PATENT APPLICATION

HE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of

Docket No: Q77600

Mikiko HIRATA, et al.

Appln. No.: 10/666,561

Group Art Unit: 2111

Confirmation No.: 5533

Examiner: Justin KING

Filed: September 22, 2003

For: STATUS NOTIFICATION APPARATUS, STATUS NOTIFICATION METHOD AND

STATUS NOTIFICATION PROGRAM

## COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

ATTN: MAIL STOP ISSUE FEE

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

## REMARKS

Applicant offers the following comments in response to the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance set forth on page 2 of the Notice of Allowability dated July 17, 2006. The Reasons for Allowance merely loosely paraphrase claims the Examiner's description of the claimed features is inaccurate as it is merely a loose paraphrase of the language of the claims. The claims are carefully written to precisely define the bounds of the invention, and people reading these remarks hereafter should note that any difference between the Examiner's language and the language of the claims should be resolved by recourse to only the express language of the claims.

S X

well . It

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

U.S. Application No. 10/666,561

Attorney Docket No. Q77600

Art Unit No. 2111

Furthermore, the Examiner's statement should not be misinterpreted as meaning that the

identified features are the only patentable feature in any of the claims. The independent claims

and the dependent claims include various aspects which provide a separate basis for

patentability, whether noted by the Examiner or not. The Examiner's statement emphasizes

certain aspects of the claims, but each claim should be interpreted using its own precise

language, without inferring any particular emphasis from the Examiner's statement., and

therefore do not accurately restate the claimed invention.

Patent Office personnel are requested to note that the present submission does not

adversely affect the patent term adjustment accrued by the Applicant to date. As emphasized in

the "Clarification of 37 C.F.R. §1.704(c)(10) – Reduction of Patent Term Adjustment for Certain

Types of Papers Filed After a Notice of Allowance Has Been Mailed," 1247OG111 (6/26/01), "a

response to the examiner's reasons for allowance" is an example of a paper that does "not cause

substantial interference and delay in the patent issue process" and is "not considered a 'failure to

engage in reasonable efforts' to conclude processing or examination of the application."

Therefore, the Applicant remains entitled to the full patent term adjustment set forth on page 3 of

the Notice of Allowance dated July 17, 2006.

Respectfully submitted,

G. Hyndman

Registration No. 39,234

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC

Telephone: (202) 293-7060

Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE

23373

CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: August 31, 2006